

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,067	12/16/2005	Jean-Marie Galpin	6215-0002WOUS	1886
35301	7590 09/25/2006		EXAM	INER
MCCORMI	CK, PAULDING & HUB	LIN, KU	LIN, KUANG Y	
CITY PLACE II 185 ASYLUM STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HARTFORD, CT 06103			
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/561,067	GALPIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	A <i>pril 2006</i> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/05.	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/561,067

705

Art Unit: 1725

1. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the expression of "particularly steel" renders the scope of the claim indefinite since it is not clear what scope is claimed.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 7-108,355 and further in view of JP 2000-52,006 and JP 3-161,153.
 - JP '355 substantially shows the invention as claimed except that it does not show the detailed structure of the EM stirring means. However, JP '006 shows to use an EM stirring means with poles of tapered end to reduce the leakage flux in the

Application/Control Number: 10/561,067 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

EM stirring means. It would have been obvious to use the tapered poles of JP '006 in the EM stirring means of JP '355 to reduce the leakage flux. Further, JP '153 show to use a traversing-magnetic-field type EM means to improve the stirring efficiency. It would have been obvious to provide a traversing-magnetic-field type EM stirring in JP '355 in view of JP '153 to improve the stirring efficiency. With respect to claim 9, it would have been obvious to provide a heat shield to a metallurgical structure element wherever it deemed necessary to shield the heat from the molten metal. With respect to claim 12, US 4,834,168 and 4,256,156 show that feature to be conventional.

- 5. US 4,321,958 is cited to further show the state of the stirring art.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

9-14-06